<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
<th>Instantial</th>
<th>Expressive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>Obviously</td>
<td>In references ~cite(town,cart) Thus for (ref{37}), By this</td>
<td>Due to the existence of the Bianchi identity in general, For example, in the SO(N)S models, where the field is a SNS-component vector constrained to have constant modulus, When this is substituted back in (ref{02}), Taking the covariant divergence on both sides of the first equation of motion, and using the second one,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whence, either</td>
<td>Indeed</td>
<td>In section 2 As begin equation then For each Gribov solution SL, $\phi$,</td>
<td>As an alternative to the previous approach, If we know a solution,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>However,</td>
<td>Classically,</td>
<td>In section 3 For $d&gt;1$</td>
<td>Although the system seems to be the obvious generalization of the $S^2+1$-dimensional one,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and</td>
<td>Of course,</td>
<td>and in section 4 However, for $d&gt;2$,</td>
<td>Once a particular solution of (ref{33}) is obtained, After eliminating the second-class constraints,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and moreover</td>
<td>Indeed</td>
<td>In section 5 and regarding the Hamiltonian,</td>
<td>As they are gauge invariant by themselves, To construct the gauge invariant functionals,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thus in particular, from Section 1, but explicitly cancel them. Indeed, as $L_0 = U \partial_0 U^\dagger$, a non-zero $L_0$ implies that 

This implies that 

However, evidently in Appendix A. To do that, we mention that. We have seen that. It is then easy to see that. 

To guarantee (11), (15) shows that 

Thus, however, that 

Then we verify that 

Thus, note however, that 

Note that 

Note, however, that 

We assume that 

We then verify that 

It is well known that 

We mention that. 

An interesting property of the new system is 

Next, in the Schrödinger representation, in $S^2+1\Sigma$, 

In Quantum Mechanics, it is easy to see that 

In $2+1$ dimensions, we have seen that 

And we note, however, that 

Note, however, that 

We then verify that 

Thus, however, that 

Then, we mention that. 

So, now. 

However, evidently in Appendix A.